

# Exhibit B

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

**CHANDRA TATE, BARBARA WHITTOM,**  
and **ALEXUS WYNN**, on behalf of themselves  
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

**EYEMED VISION CARE, LLC,**

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00036-DRC  
(Consolidated with Case No. 1:21-cv-55)

**Judge Douglas R. Cole**

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Before this Court is Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Motion”). The Court has reviewed the Motion and Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendant EyeMed Vision Care, LLC (“EyeMed”). After reviewing Plaintiffs’ unopposed request for preliminary approval, this Court grants the Motion and preliminarily concludes that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The Settlement Agreement,<sup>1</sup> including the proposed notice plan and forms of notice to the Class, the appointment of Plaintiffs Chandra Tate, Barbara Whittom, and Alexis Wynn as the Class Representatives, the appointment of Class Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class, the approval of Kroll Settlement Administration, LLC (“Kroll”) as the Settlement Administrator, the various forms of class relief provided under the terms of the settlement, and the proposed method

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms used in this Order shall have the same meanings as set for in the Settlement Agreement.

of distribution of settlement benefits are fair, reasonable, and adequate, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing described below.

2. The Court does hereby preliminarily and conditionally approve and certify, for settlement purposes, the following Class:

all individuals to whom Defendant issued notice of the Data Incident that certain Personal Data was impacted in the Data Incident. The Class specifically excludes: (i) EyeMed and its officers and directors; (ii) all Persons who timely and validly request exclusion from the Class; (iii) the Judge assigned to evaluate the fairness of this settlement; and (iv) any other Person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Data Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge.<sup>2</sup>

3. For purposes of settlement, based on the information provided: the Settlement Class is ascertainable; it consists of roughly 692,154 Class Members, satisfying numerosity; there are common questions of law and fact including whether Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature and scope of the information compromised in the Incident, satisfying commonality; the proposed Class Representatives' claims are typical in that they are members of the Class and allege that they have been damaged by the same conduct as the other members of the Class; the proposed Class Representatives and Class Counsel fully, fairly, and adequately protect the interests of the Class; questions of law and fact common to members of the Class predominate over questions affecting only individual members for settlement purposes; and a class action for settlement purposes is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this Action.

4. The Court appoints Plaintiffs Chandra Tate, Barbara Whittom, and Alexis Wynn as the Class Representatives.

---

<sup>2</sup> "Data Incident" shall mean the cyberattack EyeMed experienced on or about June 24, 2020 giving rise to the Litigation.

5. The Court appoints Bryan L. Bleichner of Chestnut Cambronne PA and Lori G. Feldman of George Feldman McDonald, PLLC as Class Counsel for the Class.

6. The Court appoints Kroll as the Settlement Administrator.

7. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held before the Court on \_\_\_\_ [date] \_\_\_\_, 2025 at \_\_\_\_ [time] \_\_\_\_ for the following purposes:

- a. To determine whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and should be approved by the Court;
- b. To determine whether to grant Final Approval, as defined in the Settlement Agreement;
- c. To determine whether the notice plan as conducted was appropriate;
- d. To determine whether the claims process under the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved by the Court;
- e. To determine whether the Service Awards of \$2,500.00 to each of the named Plaintiffs should be approved by the Court;
- f. To determine whether the requested Class Counsel's combined attorneys' fees, of up to one-third of the Settlement Fund (\$1,666,666.67) and litigation expenses up to \$50,000.00, should be approved by the Court;
- g. To determine whether the settlement benefits are fair, reasonable, and adequate; and
- h. To rule upon such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.

8. The Court approves, as to the form and content, the Notices (including the Short Form Notice). Furthermore, the Court approves the implementation of the Settlement Website and the proposed methods of mailing or distributing the notices substantially in the form as presented in the exhibits to the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and finds that

such notice plan meets the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23 and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and efficient notice to all persons or entities entitled to notice.

9. The Court preliminarily approves the following Settlement Timeline for the purposes of conducting the notice plan, settlement administration, claims processing, and other execution of the proposed Settlement:

#### SETTLEMENT TIMELINE

<b><u>From Order Granting Preliminary Approval</u></b>	
Defendant provides list of Class Members to the Settlement Administrator	+14 days
Notice Date	+45 days
Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and Class Representative Service Awards	+91 days (-14 days before the Objection and Opt-Out Deadlines)
Objection Deadline	+105 days (+60 days after the Notice Date)
Opt-Out Deadline	+105 days (+60 days after the Notice Date)
Settlement Administrator Provide List of Objections/Exclusions to the Parties' counsel	+115 days (+10 days after the Objection and Opt-Out Deadlines)
Claims Deadline	+135 days (+90 days after the Notice Date)
<b><u>Final Approval Hearing</u></b>	
Motion for Final Approval	_____, 2025
	-14 days
<b><u>From Order Granting Final Approval</u></b>	
Effective Date	+31 days, assuming no appeal has been taken. See definition of Final in the Agreement.
Payment of Class Representative Service Awards	+14 days after the Effective Date
Payment of Claims to Class Members	+30 days
Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses	+30 days after the Effective Date
Settlement Website Deactivation	+90 days

10. In order to be a timely claim under the Settlement, a Claim Form must be either postmarked or received by the Settlement Administrator no later than 90 days after the Notice Date. Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator will ensure that all specific dates and deadlines are added to the Notice and posted on the Settlement Website after this Court enters this Order in accordance with the timeline being keyed on the grant of this Order.

11. Additionally, all requests to opt out or object to the proposed Settlement must be received by the Settlement Administrator no later than 60 days after the Notice Date. Any request to opt out of the Settlement should, to the extent possible, contain words or phrases such as “opt-out,” “opt out,” “exclusion,” or words or phrases to that effect indicating an intent not to participate in the settlement or be bound by this Agreement. Opt-Out notices shall not be rejected simply because they were inadvertently sent to the Court or Class Counsel so long as they are timely postmarked or received by the Court, Kroll, or Class Counsel. Class Members who seek to Opt-Out shall receive no benefit or compensation under this Agreement.

12. Class Members may submit an objection to the proposed Settlement under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(5). For an Objection to be valid, it must be filed with the Court within 60 days of the Notice Date and include each and all of the following:

- (i) the name of the proceeding;
- (ii) the Class Member’s full name, current mailing address, and telephone number;
- (iii) a statement of the specific grounds for the objection, as well as documents supporting the objection;
- (iv) the identity of any attorneys representing the objector;
- (v) a statement regarding whether the Class Member (or his/her attorney) intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing;

(vi) a statement identifying all class action settlements objected to by the Class Member in the previous five (5) years; and

(vii) the signature of the Class Member or the Class Member's attorney.

Any Objection failing to include the requirements expressed above will be deemed to be invalid. Furthermore, any Class Member objecting to the Settlement agrees to submit to any discovery related to the Objection.

13. All Class Members shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in this Action concerning the Settlement, including, but not limited to, the release provided for in the Settlement Agreement, whether favorable or unfavorable, except those who timely and validly request exclusion from the Class. The persons and entities who timely and validly request exclusion from the Class will be excluded from the Class and shall not have rights under the Settlement Agreement, shall not be entitled to submit Claim Forms, and shall not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or any Final Approval order as to EyeMed in this Litigation.

14. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement Agreement should be approved, Plaintiffs and the Class are barred and enjoined from commencing or prosecuting any claims asserting any of the Released Claims against EyeMed.

15. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the potential Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further requests or matters arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement. The Court may approve the Settlement, with such modification as may be agreed to by the Parties or as ordered by the Court, without further notice to the Class.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2025.

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**